	•		
1	CHRISTOPHER A. NEDEAU (SBN 81297)		
2	CARL L. BLUMENSTEIN (SBN 124158)		
3	NOSSAMAN LLP 50 California Street, 34th Floor		
	San Francisco, CA 94111-4624		
4	Telephone: 415.398.3600 Facsimile: 415.398.2438		
5	cnedeau@nossaman.com		
6	cblumenstein@nossaman.com jnickovich@nossaman.com		
7		•	
8	Attorneys for Defendants AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION and		
9	AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION AMERIC	A	
10			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
12			
13	In re:		
14	TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No. 3:07-MD-1827 SI	
15	ANTINOSTERIOR		
16	HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,	Case No. CV11-4116 EDL	
17			
18	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION OF EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT,	
19	vs.	WAIVER OF SERVICE AND	
20	AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, et al.,	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
21	Defendants.		
22			
23	WHEREAS, plaintiff Hewlett-Packard Company ("HP") filed under seal its complaint in		
24	the above-captioned case against AU Optronics Corporation and AU Optronics Corporation		
25	America (collectively "AUO"), and Quanta Display Inc. on August 19, 2011 ("Complaint");		
26	WHEREAS, HP wishes to avoid the burden and expense of serving process on AUO;		
27	WHEREAS, AUO desires a reasonable amount of time to respond to the Complaint; and		
28	·	CASE NO. CV-11-4116 EDL	
	SF_IMAN_262238_1.DOC	1 CASE NO. 3:07-md-1827 SI CASE NO. 3:07-md-18	
	[PROPOSED] ORDER		

1	WHEREAS, AUO and HP believe that proceeding on a unified response date will create	
2	efficiency for the Court and the parties.	
3	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and among the	
.4	undersigned counsel, on behalf of their respective clients, HP on the one hand, and the AUO on	
5	the other hand, as follows:	
6	1. AUO waives service of the Complaint under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(d).	
7	This stipulation does not constitute a waiver by AUO of any other substantive or procedural	
8	defense, including but not limited to, the defenses of lack of personal or subject matter	
9	jurisdiction and improper venue.	
10	2. AUO's deadline to move to dismiss, answer, or otherwise respond to the	
11	Complaint will be ninety (90) days from the execution of this stipulation subject to Federal Rule	
12	of Civil Procedure 6(a)(1).	
13	NOSSAMAN LLP	
14	DATED: October 24, 2011 By Walley	
15	Christopher A. Nedeau Carl L. Blumenstein	
16	Attorney for Defendants AU Optronics Corporation and	
17	AU Optronics Corporation America	
18	······································	
19	CROWELL & MORING LLP	
20	DATED: October 21, 2011 By But N. Mary M. Postrice B. Navy M.	
21	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
22	Hewlett-Packard Company	
23		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25		
26	Dated: 10/25/11 The Honorable Susan Illston	
27	United States District Judge	
28		
- 11	CASE NO. CV-11-4116 EDL	

SF_IMAN_262238_1.DOC 2 CASE NO. 3:07-mdSTIPULATION OF EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT, WAIVER OF SERVICE AND
[PROPOSED] ORDER

CASE NO. 3:07-md-1827 SI